

Appendix C

Terms of Reference Commitments Table

Appendix C – Terms of Reference Commitments Table

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
1.	3	The South Landfill Phase 2 EA will be prepared under subsections 17.4(2)c and 17.6(2) (formerly subsections 6(2)(c) and 6.1.(3)) of the <i>EA Act</i> , which allow for a ToR to set out in detail the requirements for the EA such that it consists of information other than the standard requirements outlined in subsection 17.6(2).	The EA process is described in Section 2.	Section 2
2.	3	This ToR identifies a predetermined "Alternative To" and identifies the "Alternatives Methods" that will be examined during the preparation of an EA.	The predetermined "Alternative To" is described in Section 3 and Appendix E, and the "Alternatives Methods" are examined and described in Section 5.	Section 3 Section 5 Appendix E
3.	3	The "do nothing" option would not satisfy the identified economic opportunity and would not permit Walker to continue servicing its existing customer base, but as required under the <i>EA Act</i> , has been carried forward as the reference benchmark or baseline alternative against which the advantages and disadvantages of each Alternative Method will be compared in the EA.	Future existing conditions for each technical discipline under the "do nothing" option are described in Section 4. The "do nothing" approach is described in Section 5.1.2 and Appendix G. The advantages and disadvantages of each Alternative Method are compared against the "do nothing" option in Section 5.	Section 4 Section 5 Appendix G
4.	3.1	The approved service area for the existing South Landfill is the Province of Ontario, which will not change because of this EA.	The service area has been reviewed and confirmed as the Province of Ontario, as presented in Section 3, Appendix E, Section 6 and Appendix H.	Section 3 Section 5 Appendix E Appendix H
5.	3.1	The proposed undertaking will continue to ensure the South Landfill: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Ensures the facility maintains its important regional and provincial standing as a facility that provides critical waste disposal services for local, regional, and provincial customers; – Provides a local, renewable energy source that will support the existing RNG facility, which is already Ontario's largest; – Provides significant jobs, tax revenue and other economic benefits to the local community; – Offers an affordable residual waste disposal option for local residents and businesses; and – Continues to support the existing resource recovery operations at Walker's Resource Management Campus into the future. 	A description of the proposed undertaking is provided in Section 3 and Appendix E.	Section 3 Appendix E

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
6.	3.1	The purpose statement will be reviewed and finalized as part of preparing the South Landfill Phase 2 EA.	The purpose statement was reviewed and finalized, as presented in Section 3 and Appendix E.	Section 3 Appendix E
7.	4	Like the existing South Landfill, the proposed South Landfill (Phase 2) will be a modern and highly engineered site consisting of a double composite liner system designed in accordance with O. Reg. 232/98: Landfilling Sites or equivalent and will continue to receive solid, non-hazardous waste from residential and IC&I sources from within its existing Ontario-wide service area. The South Landfill current annual maximum fill rate of 1.1 million tonnes is proposed to be maintained for South Landfill (Phase 2).	The proposed undertaking, including the engineered landfill design, approved service area and annual fill rate, is presented in Sections 3, Section 6.1.2, and Appendix H	Section 3 Section 6.1.2 Appendix H
8.	4	A detailed description of the rationale for the proposed undertaking will be given as part of preparing the South Landfill Phase 2 EA once a specific undertaking is selected from the Alternative Methods that are to be considered.	The rationale for the proposed undertaking is described in Section 3 and Appendix E, and the Preferred Method is described and assessed in Section 6.1.1.	Section 3 Section 6.1.1 Appendix E
9.	5.1	Based on the proposed undertaking described above, the Alternative Methods of Carrying Out the Undertaking that will be considered by Walker as part of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA include alternative site configuration options (e.g., footprint dimensions, heights, contours, side slopes, etc.) within the "envelope" currently occupied by the Southeast Quarry.	The Alternative Methods, including landfill configuration options within the Southeast Quarry envelope, are described and evaluated in Section 5.1.1 and Appendix G.	Section 5.1.1 Appendix G
10.	5.1	In addition to the site configuration Alternative Methods, a "Do Nothing" alternative will be included as part of this EA to represent what is expected to happen if none of the Alternative Methods being considered is carried out. Although the "Do Nothing" alternative does not address the Purpose of the Undertaking and is therefore not a viable option, it is included in EAs as a matter of best practice to represent the benchmark against which the advantages and disadvantages of the Alternative Methods being considered can be measured and compared.	The "Do Nothing" alternative was included as the reference benchmark for the assessment and comparative evaluation of Alternative Methods in Section 5.1.2 and Appendix G.	Section 5.1.2 Appendix G
11.	5.1	A detailed description of each of the Alternative Methods of Carrying Out the Undertaking will be provided as part of preparing this EA prior to their assessment and comparative evaluation. The detailed description of each Alternative Method will be based on a conceptual level of design, reflecting regulatory requirements (i.e., O. Reg. 232/98) and operational aspects of Walker's Resource Management Campus (e.g., required on-site infrastructure). Each of the conceptual designs will incorporate the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Buffer zones between the proposed South Landfill Phase 2 footprint and the property boundary – Setbacks to surrounding developments – Contours and slopes of the final cover – Peak elevation and height relative to surrounding landscape – Footprint size – Leachate generation rates – Infrastructure requirements 	The Alternative Methods were developed at a conceptual level and considered landfill configuration elements such as buffers, setbacks, final contours, slopes, peak elevation, footprint size, leachate generation and infrastructure requirements, as described in Section 5.1.2 and Appendix G.	Section 5.1.2 Appendix G

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
12.	5.1	An assessment of the existing leachate treatment system relative to the Alternative Methods will be carried out as part of this EA to determine if any modifications or additions are required to support the continuation of disposal capacity at Walker's Resource Management Campus. Any modifications or additions to the existing leachate treatment system that are required for the preferred Alternative Method will be identified and assessed as part of this EA.	Leachate management options and required modifications to the leachate management system were assessed during the Alternative Methods stage in Section 5.1.4 and Appendix G and further described for the Preferred Method in Section 6.1.2.3 and Appendix H.	Section 5.1.4 Section 6.1.2.3 Appendix G Appendix H
13.	5.1	With respect to the existing landfill gas collection system, this will be reviewed in a similar fashion to the leachate treatment system: an assessment of the existing landfill gas collection and utilization system relative to the Alternative Methods will be carried out as part of this EA to determine if any modifications or additions are required to support the continuation of disposal capacity at Walker's Resource Management Campus. Any modifications or additions to the existing landfill gas collection and utilization system that are required for the preferred Alternative Method will be identified and assessed as part of this EA.	The landfill gas collection and utilization system was reviewed during the Alternative Methods stage in Section 5.1.3 and Appendix G and it was determined that no modifications were required and therefore no alternatives considered. The landfill gas collection and utilization system is described as part of the Preferred Method design and impact assessment in Section 6.1.2.3, Appendix H and Appendix I-3.	Section 5.1.3 Section 6.1.2.3 Appendix G Appendix H Appendix I-3
14.	5.2	The site configuration options within the "envelope" currently occupied by the Walker-owned Southeast Quarry to be developed and considered as the Alternative Methods of Carrying Out the Undertaking for the South Landfill Phase 2 EA will represent different ways of performing the same activity (i.e., continuing to provide approximately 18 million m ³ of disposal capacity for solid, non-hazardous waste). All Alternative Methods will reflect the regulatory design requirements under O. Reg. 232/98: Landfilling Sites (e.g., setbacks, slopes, etc.) and will be within Walker's ability to implement.	The landfill configuration options were developed within the Southeast Quarry envelope and designed to reflect O. Reg. 232/98 requirements and Walker's ability to implement them, as described in Section 5.1.2 and Appendix G.	Section 5.1.2 Appendix G
15.	6.1	The preliminary study area will be finalized during preparation of the EA when the Alternative Methods have been developed and confirmed and the potential environmental effects are better known.	The study areas were finalized for the EA based on the confirmed Alternative Methods and potential environmental effects identified through the assessment, as described in Section 4.1, Section 5.1, Appendix F and Appendix I.	Section 4.1 Section 5.1 Appendix F Appendix I

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
16.	6.1	The [Site Study Area] SSA is common for all technical disciplines and will include all lands (76.12 ha) owned and operated by Walker that are within the existing approved boundaries of the Southeast Quarry.	The SSA, as described in Section 4.1, Appendix F and Appendix I, is consistent across all technical disciplines and encompasses a total of 81.30 ha of land owned and operated by Walker. The SSA includes both the current quarry extraction limit and buffer lands. It encompasses the proposed limit of fill, proposed landfill buffer area, and aligns with the proposed Waste Disposal Site Boundary Limit. While the SSA captures the core area of the proposed landfill development, certain ancillary features related to the landfill are proposed to be located outside the SSA. These features will be addressed within the broader LSA.	Section 4.1 Appendix F Appendix I
17.	6.1	The [Local Study Area], LSA will be specific to each technical discipline but will extend approximately 1-2 kilometres (km) beyond the SSA boundary and can generally be described as including Walker's Resource Management Campus and the immediate surrounding area.	Discipline-specific LSAs were defined and applied in the technical assessments in Section 4.1, Section 4.3, Appendix F and Appendix I.	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Appendix I
18.	6.1	The [Regional Study Area], RSA will be discipline-specific and may not be required by all disciplines. The RSA will generally be based on administrative and/or natural boundaries applicable to each discipline and the parameters of their associated criteria.	RSAs were identified where required by individual disciplines and were based on the relevant administrative, natural or assessment boundaries for each discipline in Section 4.3, Appendix F, and Appendix I.	Section 4.3 Appendix F Appendix I
19.	6.2.1.2	A detailed description of past and existing stormwater works on the Campus will be prepared as part of the EA and the EA will assess stormwater management for the proposed expansion and its inter-relationship with other existing and proposed site uses e.g. quarry, waste storage/processing, landfill leachate etc.	Existing stormwater conditions and proposed stormwater management requirements were assessed as part of the surface water and Preferred Method assessments in Section 4.3.1.2, Section 6.2.1.2, Appendix F-2 and Appendix H.	Section 4.3.1.2 Section 6.2.1.2 Appendix F-2 Appendix H
20.	6.2.1.3	A more detailed description of the existing [air quality] environment will be prepared as part of the EA.	The existing air quality environment was described and assessed as part of the Atmospheric Environment assessment in Section 4.3.1.3 and Appendix F-3.	Section 4.3.1.3 Appendix F-3

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
21.	6.2.1.3	Sensitive receptor locations representing residences within approximately 500 m of the Campus property line have been the subject of previous studies. Impacts at these sensitive receptors will be the focus of assessment during the EA.	Sensitive receptor locations were identified and used as assessment locations in the Atmospheric Environment assessment in Section 4.3.1.4 and Appendix F-3.	Section 4.3.1.4 Appendix F-3
22.	6.2.1.3	During this EA, a detailed evaluation will consider the influencing sources of air quality emissions from across the Campus along with new, relocated, or expanded sources associated with the South Landfill Phase 2 operations and their contributions to the sensitive receptors and other off-site locations.	Potential air quality emissions from existing campus sources and new, relocated or expanded South Landfill Phase 2 sources were evaluated in the Atmospheric Environment assessment in Section 6.2.1.3, Appendix F-3 and Appendix I-3.	Section 6.2.1.3 Appendix F-3 Appendix I-3
23.	6.2.1.3	Detailed evaluation will consider the influencing [noise] sources and their contributions in greater detail for the points of reception.	Noise sources and contributions at points of reception were assessed as part of the Atmospheric Environment assessment in Section 6.2.1.4, Appendix F-3 and Appendix I-3.	Section 6.2.1.4 Appendix F-3 Appendix I-3
24.	6.2.1.4	Existing natural heritage features and conditions within the SSA (e.g., terrestrial and aquatic flora and fauna and ecosystems) will be identified and described in the EA to assess potential impacts and environmental effects of the expansion on those biological features and conditions.	Existing natural heritage features and conditions were identified and assessed through the Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment assessment in Section 4.3.1.5, Section 6.2.1.5, Appendix F-4 and Appendix I-4.	Section 4.3.1.5 Section 6.2.1.5 Appendix F-4 Appendix I-4
25.	6.2.1.4	The LSA for the Terrestrial and Aquatic investigations will include all lands (approximately 760 ha) and waters within a 1-km radius of the SSA boundaries and includes the Walker Campus and surrounding area.	The LSA for terrestrial and aquatic investigations was applied in the natural heritage assessment in Section 4.3 and Appendix F-4.	Section 4.3 Appendix F-4
26.	6.2.1.4	The 'significance' (as defined in the Niagara Official Plan and City of Niagara Falls Official Plan) of any of these identified natural heritage features will be evaluated through the EA process.	Natural heritage features were evaluated for significance as part of the Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment assessment in Section 4.3 and Appendix F-4.	Section 4.3 Appendix F-4
27.	6.2.1.4	Further, a determination of candidate and confirmed Significant Wildlife Habitat will also be evaluated.	Candidate and confirmed Significant Wildlife Habitat were evaluated as part of the Terrestrial and Aquatic Environment assessment in Section 4.3.1.5, Section 6.2.1.5, Appendix F-4 and Appendix I-4.	Section 4.3.1.5 Section 6.2.1.5 Appendix F-4 Appendix I-4

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
28.	6.2.1.4	Habitat suitability for each [Species at Risk], SAR species will be cross-referenced with available habitats within the SSA and LSA to evaluate the likelihood and presence of SAR within the study areas.	SAR habitat suitability and likelihood of presence were assessed using available habitat information within the study areas in Section 4.3.1.5, Section 6.2.1.5, Appendix F-4 and Appendix I-4.	Section 4.3.1.5 Section 6.2.1.5 Appendix F-4 Appendix I-4
29.	6.2.1.4	SAR listings within Ontario are subject to change, therefore considerations for wildlife habitat will be made as the Project progresses during the EA process.	SAR considerations were reviewed during the EA based on current listings and available habitat conditions in Section 4.3.1.5, Section 6.2.1.5, Appendix F-4 and Appendix I-4.	Section 4.3.1.5 Section 6.2.1.5 Appendix F-4 Appendix I-4
30.	6.2.2.1	Under the existing [quarry] licence, any change to the site plan, or surrender of the licence, will require approval through the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF).	Quarry licence requirements and the relationship between the existing quarry and the proposed landfill were considered in the land use and approvals assessment in Section 4.3.2.1, Section 6.2.2.1, Appendix F-5 and Appendix I-5.	Section 4.3.2.1 Section 6.2.2.1 Appendix F-5 Appendix I-5
31.	6.2.2.1	Any land use decisions made under the <i>Planning Act</i> relating to the Project will be required to be consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) and to conform and not conflict with any applicable provincial plans including the Growth Plan, Greenbelt Plan, and Niagara Escarpment Plan.	Applicable provincial policy and planning conformity considerations were addressed as part of the Land Use assessment in Section 4.3.2.1, Section 6.2.2.1, Appendix F-5 and Appendix I-5.	Section 4.3.2.1 Section 6.2.2.1 Appendix F-5 Appendix I-5
32.	6.2.2.1	Any decisions made on the proposed amendments to regional and local planning instruments (official plans, zoning by-laws, etc.) are required to conform to and not conflict with the Growth Plan.	Regional and local planning instruments and applicable provincial planning requirements were reviewed in the Land Use assessment in Section 4.3.2.1, Section 6.2.2.1, Appendix F-5 and Appendix I-5.	Section 4.3.2.1 Section 6.2.2.1 Appendix F-5 Appendix I-5
33.	6.2.3.1	It is noted that rail facilities are not expected to be impacted by the undertaking of the proposed landfill expansion and will therefore not be analyzed further as part of the transportation impact assessment.	Rail facilities were screened from further transportation assessment because they were not expected to be impacted by the undertaking, as described in Section 4.3.3.1 and Appendix F-7.	Section 4.3.3.1 Appendix F-7
34.	6.2.5.1	The MCM <i>Criteria for Evaluating Potential Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes</i> will be completed as early as possible during the EA to confirm whether there are any known or potential BHRs/CHLs (see Appendix C-10 Cultural Heritage Resources Work Plan).	The <i>Criteria for Evaluating Potential Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes</i> was completed, as described in Section 4.3.5.1 and Appendix F-12.	Section 4.3.5.1 Appendix F-12

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
35.	6.2.5.2	Since the previous assessments does not meet the current MCM standards, the area would need to be reassessed to confirm the archaeological sites and archaeological potential has since been removed.	Archaeological potential and previous assessment coverage were reviewed as part of the Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment in Section 4.3.5.2 and Appendix F-11.	Section 4.3.5.2 Appendix F-11
36.	6.2.5.2	Based on the current physical conditions, pending the results of further background research, it is likely that a significant portion of the SSA has no potential for archaeological resources to be present. This is due specifically to the current use of a portion of the SSA as a quarry. Additionally, the proposed South Landfill Phase 2 location (the current active quarry) is not mapped as an area of archaeological potential in the Niagara Region Archaeological Management Plan and Schedule K of the NROP. The remaining portions that are not within the current quarry limits will likely require assessment.	Areas of archaeological potential and disturbed quarry areas were reviewed and documented through the archaeological assessment in Section 4.3.5.2 and Appendix F-11.	Section 4.3.5.2 Appendix F-11
37.	6.2.5.2	A Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (AA) will be undertaken for the SSA. The AA will be summarized in and inform the EA report, as will any subsequent stages undertaken as a result of the Stage 1 AA recommendations.	A Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment was completed and summarized in Section 4.3.5.2 and fully documented in Appendix F-11.	Section 4.3.5.2 Appendix F-11
38.	6.3	A more detailed description of the environment will be provided during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA reflecting the final study area using available existing information sources and investigative studies.	The existing environment was described using the final study areas, available background information and the discipline-specific investigative studies completed for the EA in Section 4.3 and Appendix F.	Section 4.3 Appendix F
39.	6.3.2	The investigative studies that will be undertaken as part of the EA include, but are not limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Geology & Hydrogeology – Surface Water Resources – Atmospheric Environment (including Air Quality, Odour and Noise) – Greenhouse Gas – Terrestrial & Aquatic Environment – Land Use – Agricultural – Transportation – Social – Economic – Technical Cultural Heritage Studies (e.g., archaeological assessment, cultural heritage report) 	Discipline-specific investigative studies were completed for the EA and used to describe existing conditions, assess Alternative Methods and assess the Preferred Method in Sections 4.3, 5.2 and 6.2, Appendix F, Appendix G and Appendix I.	Section 4.3 Section 5.2 Section 6.2 Appendix F Appendix G Appendix I

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
40.	6.3.2	Climate change will be considered in the detailed impact assessment. Accordingly, the impact assessment will include description of the preferred alternative's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and potential effect on climate change, the potential effect of climate change on the preferred alternative, proposed impact management measures, and net effects.	Climate change was considered through the assessment of greenhouse gas emissions, climate change effects on the Preferred Method, impact management measures and net effects in Section 5.3, Section 6.4 and Appendix I-10.	Section 5.3 Section 6.4 Appendix I-10
41.	6.3.2	The details associated with each of these investigative studies are provided in separate proposed Work Plans (see Appendix C). These proposed Work Plans outline what will be done during the South Landfill Phase 2 EA to generate a more detailed description of the environment and how that information will be utilized in the assessment and evaluation of alternatives, as well as the assessment of impacts associated with the preferred alternative. The proposed work plans will be reconfirmed as part of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA.	The proposed work plans were reviewed and used to guide the investigative studies, development of the environmental description, assessment of alternatives and assessment of the Preferred Method in Sections 4.3, 5.2 and 6.2, Appendix F, Appendix G and Appendix I	Section 4.3 Section 5.2 Section 6.2 Appendix F Appendix G Appendix I
42.	6.3.3	The types of potential environmental effects that will be assessed during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA include, but are not limited to, those that are summarized in Table 6.1 .	Potential environmental effects were identified and assessed through the discipline-specific assessments for the Alternative Methods and Preferred Method in Section 5.2, Section 6.2, Appendix G and Appendix I.	Section 5.2 Section 6.2 Appendix G Appendix I
43.	6.3.3	The specific potential environmental effects will be determined during the preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA.	The specific potential environmental effects were determined during the EA based on the final study areas, confirmed Alternative Methods and Preferred Method design in Sections 4.3, 5.2 and 6.2, Appendix G and Appendix I.	Section 4.3 Section 5.2 Section 6.2 Appendix G Appendix I
44.	7.1	The Alternative Methods will be assessed and evaluated to identify the proposed undertaking for which <i>EA Act</i> approval will be sought.	The Alternative Methods were assessed and comparatively evaluated to identify the Preferred Method for which EA approval is being sought in Section 5.1 and Appendix G.	Section 5.1 Appendix G
45.	7.1	The South Landfill Phase 2 EA will consider potential effects on the environment associated with the following timeframes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Construction – Operation – Closure/Post-closure 	The assessment considered construction, operation, and closure/post-closure timeframes for the Alternative Methods and Preferred Method, as applicable, in Section 5.1, Section 6.1, Appendix G and Appendix I.	Section 5.1 Section 6.1 Appendix G Appendix I

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
46.	7.1.1	The assessment and comparative evaluation of the Alternative Methods will utilize the following three steps: 1. Assessment of the Alternative Methods 2. Comparative evaluation of the Alternative Methods and selection of the Recommended Method 3. Identification of the Preferred Method	The Alternative Methods assessment followed a staged process, including assessment of alternatives, comparative evaluation and identification of the Preferred Method, in Section 5.1 and Appendix G.	Section 5.1 Appendix G
47.	7.1.1.1	The Alternative Methods will be assessed through a "net effects analysis" consisting of the following activities: – Develop appropriate evaluation criteria and indicators based on the purpose of the undertaking, environmental conditions within the final study area, developed Alternative Methods (i.e., conceptual designs), and type of potential environmental effects from the Alternative Methods. Preliminary evaluation criteria and indicators have been developed, which will include, but may not be limited to, those set out in Appendix B . The preliminary evaluation criteria and indicators will be finalized during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA. Further details on the finalization of preliminary criteria and indicators are provided in Section 9.2.4 and Section 10 of the Proposed ToR. – Identify potential effects on the environment (both positive and negative) by applying the finalized evaluation criteria and indicators to each Alternative Method taking environmental conditions into consideration. – Develop impact management measures based on current procedures, historical performance, and environmental conditions to avoid/minimize potential adverse environmental effects. In addition, impact management measures other than those currently utilized at the existing South Landfill will be developed and assessed as part of the EA. – Apply the impact management measures to the identified potential adverse environmental effects to identify residual or remaining net effects on the environment (both positive and negative).	A net effects analysis was completed for the Alternative Methods using finalized evaluation criteria, potential effects, impact management measures and residual/net effects in Section 5.2 and Appendix G.	Section 5.2 Appendix G
48.	7.1.1.1	Consistent with the guide, Walker will review the Alternative Methods from a climate change adaptation and mitigation perspective. This will include identifying historical climate/meteorological trends, as well as the potential for extreme weather events that may have an effect on the Alternative Methods through power outages, physical damage, stormwater management and reduced access to the landfill. In addition, Walker will consider the impact of the Alternative Methods on climate change through evaluation criteria including, but not limited to, greenhouse gas emissions and impacts to carbon sinks.	Climate change adaptation and mitigation considerations were incorporated into the Alternative Methods assessment, including consideration of GHG emissions and climate-related risks, in Section 5.3, Section 6.4, Appendix G and Appendix I-10.	Section 5.3 Section 6.4 Appendix G Appendix I-10
49.	7.1.1.2	Once the assessment of the Alternative Methods has been completed, they will be compared using a "Reasoned Argument" or "trade-off" method to select a Recommended Method. Application of this method will identify the advantages or disadvantages of each Alternative Method based on their respective net effects. The advantages and disadvantages will be used to identify preferences among the Alternative Methods in order to establish the Recommended Method. The rationale for selecting the Recommended Method will be provided as part of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA.	The Alternative Methods were comparatively evaluated using a reasoned argument approach to identify the Recommended Method and rationale in Section 5.2 and Appendix G.	Section 5.2 Appendix G

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
50.	7.12	The Recommended Method will be provided to review agencies, Indigenous communities and agencies, and the public for comment during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA, following which a Preferred Method will be identified.	The Recommended Method was presented for review during the EA consultation process, and the Preferred Method was identified following consideration of input received, as described in Section 5.4, Section 7.1 and Appendix G.	Section 5.4 Section 7.1 Appendix G
51.	7.2	At the completion of the impact assessment of the Preferred Method, the advantages and disadvantages to the environment of the Preferred Method will be identified.	The Preferred Method was assessed in detail, and the advantages and disadvantages to the environment were identified based on the impact assessment results in Section 6.1.1 and Appendix I.	Section 6.1.1 Appendix I
52.	7.2	During the impact assessment, Walker will utilize the climate change adaptation and mitigation analysis undertaken during the Alternative Methods stage and augment as needed for the Preferred Method. Climate change mitigation and adaptation measures will be reviewed as part of the detailed site design established for the Preferred Method during the impact assessment stage of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA. In addition, during the impact assessment stage of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA, Walker will complete an assessment of the cumulative effects of the proposed undertaking and other non Walker projects/activities that are existing, planned/approved or reasonably foreseeable within the Study Area (which will be finalized during the EA, as per Section 6.1 of this ToR).	Climate change adaptation and mitigation considerations were carried forward and expanded for the Preferred Method, and cumulative effects were assessed as part of the impact assessment in Section 6.4.4 and Appendix I.	Section 6.4.4 Appendix I
53.	7.3	Closure and post closure (or decommissioning) of the South Landfill Phase 2 will take place in accordance with O. Reg. 232/98, which includes the future requirement to develop a closure plan. Walker is required to prepare a closure plan when the South Landfill Phase 2 has reached 90 percent of its approved capacity or two years of remaining capacity (whichever comes first).	Closure and post-closure requirements were considered in the Preferred Method assessment and will be implemented in accordance with O. Reg. 232/98 and future closure planning requirements, as described in Section 6.5, Appendix H and Appendix I.	Section 6.5 Appendix H Appendix I
54.	7.3	In concert with developing conceptual designs for the Alternative Methods, broad closure and post closure frameworks will be generated for assessment and comparative evaluation purposes. The broad frameworks may include, but are not limited to, reviewing whether existing site infrastructure will remain in place at the landfill beyond the closure date, post closure monitoring requirements, as well as the potential post closure use. The post closure use will need to reflect current municipal land use planning controls.	Closure and post-closure frameworks were considered in the development and assessment of the Alternative Methods and Preferred Method, including infrastructure, monitoring and potential end-use considerations, in Section 5.4, Section 6.5, Appendix G, Appendix H and Appendices I.	Section 5.4 Section 6.5 Appendix G Appendix H Appendix I
55.	8.1	As part of preparing this ToR, a number of commitments are being made by Walker that will need to be fulfilled during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA. Appendix D lists these commitments. If approval of the proposed ToR is granted by the Minister, the list of commitments will be finalized and included in the South Landfill Phase 2 EA, documenting where and how they were dealt with during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA.	The ToR commitments were carried forward into the EA and documented in Appendix C, including how and where each commitment was addressed.	Appendix C

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
56.	8.1	Similarly, commitments may be made by Walker during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA that will need to be fulfilled if approval of the proposed ToR is granted by the Minister. Where such commitments are made, a list of EA commitments will be documented in the South Landfill Phase 2 EA Report, including where and how they will be dealt with if the proposed ToR is approved.	Commitments made during preparation of the EA were documented in the EA commitments and monitoring framework in Section 8.1 for implementation following EA approval, as applicable. Fulfillment of ToR commitments is documented in this Appendix C.	Section 8.1 Appendix C
57.	8.2	Walker is committed to developing a monitoring framework during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA that will address environmental effects and, as applicable, EA compliance.	A monitoring framework was developed to address environmental effects monitoring and EA compliance commitments in Section 8.	Section 8
58.	8.2	Environmental effects monitoring will monitor the net effects associated with the construction, operation, and closure of the proposed undertaking, as necessary, and implement further impact management measures, monitoring, and contingency plans, where possible, so that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Predicted net negative effects are not more than expected – Unanticipated negative effects are addressed – Predicted benefits are realized 	Environmental effects monitoring was identified in Section 8.1 to confirm predicted net effects, address unanticipated effects and confirm that predicted benefits are realized.	Section 8.1
59.	8.2	The purpose of the EA compliance commitment monitoring will be to track the commitments made by Walker during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA, as well as any conditions of <i>EA Act</i> approval, so that they are followed through as applicable in the construction, operation, and closure of the proposed undertaking.	EA compliance commitment monitoring was identified in Section 8.3 to track commitments and conditions of EA approval through construction, operation and closure, as applicable.	Section 8.3
60.	8.2	The South Landfill Phase 2 EA Report will include a strategy on how and when the commitments will be fulfilled and how Walker will report on this to MECP and other regulatory agencies, as appropriate.	The EA includes a strategy in Section 8.3 for fulfilling commitments and reporting to MECP and other regulatory agencies, as appropriate.	Section 8.3
61.	9.1.2	The Project website will act as the go-to source for all the most up-to-date information regarding the project, including accessing all documentation related to the project, project notifications, invitations to upcoming consultation activities, and a subscribe function to be added to the project contact list.	The Project website was maintained as a central source for project information, documentation, notices, consultation materials and opportunities to subscribe to the contact list, as described in Section 7.3.2, Appendix J-1, Appendix J-3, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.2 Appendix J-1 Appendix J-3 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
62.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	Walker will review Niagara Region and area municipalities' sustainability goals, objectives, and targets and identify opportunities to incorporate them into the South Landfill Phase 2 project.	Regional and municipal sustainability goals, objectives and targets were reviewed through the EA and considered in relation to the project and impact management measures in Section 6.2.2.1 and Appendix I-10.	Section 6.2.2.1 Appendix I-10

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
63.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	Walker anticipates a seamless transition between South Landfill Phase 1 and Phase 2. Specific details on sequencing would be determined following EA approval.	Sequencing between South Landfill Phase 1 and Phase 2 was considered as part of the Preferred Method design and operational planning in Section 6 and Appendix H.	Section 6 Appendix H
64.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	The development of site configuration options within the "envelope" currently occupied by the Walker-owned Southeast Quarry as the Alternative Methods of Carrying Out the Undertaking for the South Landfill Phase 2 EA will consider landfill height in accordance with the regulatory design requirements under O. Reg. 232/98: Landfilling Sites.	Landfill height was considered in developing the Alternative Methods and Preferred Method in accordance with O. Reg. 232/98 design requirements in Section 5.1.1, Section 6.2.2.2, Appendix G and Appendix H.	Section 5.1.1 Section 6.2.2.2 Appendix G Appendix H
65.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	NPCA has and will continue to be consulted as part of the Government Review Team throughout the EA process.	NPCA was consulted as part of the Government Review Team during the EA process, as documented in Section 7.4, Appendix J-4 and Appendix J-5.	Section 7.4 Appendix J-4 Appendix J-5
66.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	Walker to prepare an approvals sequencing tracking document outlining timing of provincial and local planning approvals for the project.	The required approvals and sequencing considerations were identified as part of the approvals review for the EA in Section 9.	Section 9
67.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	Walker has and will continue to consult with the following municipalities: the City of Niagara Falls, the Town of Niagara-on-the-Lake, the City of Thorold, and the City of St. Catharines.	Municipal consultation was undertaken with the City of Niagara Falls, Town of Niagara-on-the-Lake, City of Thorold and City of St. Catharines during the EA process, as documented in Section 7.4, Appendix J-4 and Appendix J-5.	Section 7.4 Appendix J-4 Appendix J-5
68.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	The Niagara Escarpment Commission has and will continue to be consulted throughout the EA process.	The Niagara Escarpment Commission was consulted during the EA process, as documented in Section 7.4, Appendix J-4 and Appendix J-5.	Section 7.4 Appendix J-4 Appendix J-5
69.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	The Northwest Secondary Plan and the Glendale Secondary Plan will be considered as part of the Land Use Assessment (see Appendix C-5).	The Northwest Secondary Plan and Glendale Secondary Plan were considered as part of the Land Use assessment in Section 4.3.2.1, Section 6.2.2.1, Appendix F-5 and Appendix I-5.	Section 4.3.2.1 Section 6.2.2.1 Appendix F-5 Appendix I-5
70.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	Tonnage fee discussions [with the host municipality] will occur at a later stage in the project, when EA studies have concluded.	Tonnage fee discussions were identified as a future project matter to occur following completion of EA studies, as documented in Section 7.4, Appendix J-4 and Appendix J-5.	Section 7.4 Appendix J-4 Appendix J-5

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
71.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	Walker will consider regional and municipal growth targets as part of the EA. The South Landfill Phase 2 will manage waste generated in Niagara as a first priority to ensure disposal capacity for the local community.	Regional and municipal growth targets and local waste management priorities were considered in the EA in Section 3, Appendix E, and the Land Use assessment in Section 4.3.2.1, Section 6.2.2.1, Appendix F-5 and Appendix I-5.	Section 3 Section 4.3.2.1 Section 6.2.2.1 Appendix E Appendix F-5 Appendix I-5
72.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	The Atmospheric Assessment will include an assessment of odour (see Appendix C-3).	Odour was assessed as part of the Atmospheric Environment assessment in Section 4.3.1.3, Section 6.2.1.3, Appendix F-3 and Appendix I-3.	Section 4.3.1.3 Section 6.2.1.3 Appendix F-3 Appendix I-3
73.	9.1.3, Table 9.5	Walker will schedule a tour of its Campus with staff from the Town of Niagara-on-the-Lake.	A Campus tour was identified as part of ongoing municipal consultation with the Town of Niagara-on-the-Lake in Section 7.3.7, Appendix J-4 and Appendix J-5.	Section 7.3.7 Appendix J-4 Appendix J-5
74.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	Walker is reviewing the studies prepared as part of the approval of the Southeast Quarry circa 1980s to identify land use and ecological conditions, as well as any archaeology studies that were undertaken. Walker will consider pre-development conditions as part of its end-use planning.	Available Southeast Quarry approval studies and pre-development conditions were reviewed to inform existing conditions and end-use planning considerations in Section 4.3, Section 6.2, Appendix F, Appendix I-4, Appendix I-5 and Appendix I-11.	Section 4.3 Section 6.2 Appendix F Appendix I-4 Appendix I-5 Appendix I-11
75.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	When consulting with Six Nations of the Grand River, Walker will ensure that this guidance is considered.	Guidance from Six Nations of the Grand River was considered through Indigenous consultation during the EA, as documented in Section 7.5, Appendix J-6 and Appendix J-7.	Section 7.5 Appendix J-6 Appendix J-7
76.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	Walker will confirm species of interest and importance with Six Nations of the Grand River during the EA, including consideration for restoration planning.	Species of interest and importance were confirmed through Indigenous consultation and considered in restoration planning, where applicable, as documented in Section 7.5, Appendix J-6 and Appendix J-7.	Section 7.5 Appendix J-6 Appendix J-7
77.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	Setbacks from watercourses and other natural features will be considered as part of the identification and development of impact management measures during the EA.	Setbacks from watercourses and natural features were considered in developing impact management measures in Section 6.2.1.5 and Appendix I-4.	Section 6.2.1.5 Appendix I-4

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
78.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	Best practices will be considered as part of the identification and development of impact management measures during the EA.	Best practices were considered in developing impact management measures for the Preferred Method in Section 6.2 and Appendix I.	Section 6.2 Appendix I
79.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	Walker is proposing to include an assessment of cumulative effects in the EA, as noted in Section 7.2 - Impact Assessment of the Preferred Method of this ToR.	Cumulative effects were assessed as part of the Preferred Method impact assessment in Section 6.3 and Appendix I.	Section 6.3 Appendix I
80.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	As part of this EA, Walker is open to discussing other accommodation opportunities as the project progresses.	Walker remained open to discussing accommodation opportunities with Indigenous communities as the EA progressed in Section 7.5, Appendix J-6 and Appendix J-7.	Section 7.5 Appendix J-6 Appendix J-7
81.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	Walker will connect with Indigenous employment agencies/organizations to discuss potential jobs/careers/training/co-op opportunities.	Potential Indigenous employment, training, co-op and career opportunities were identified as part of ongoing Indigenous engagement in Section 7.5, Appendix J-6 and Appendix J-7.	Section 7.5 Appendix J-6 Appendix J-7
82.	9.1.3, Table 9.6	Walker will schedule a tour for Spring/Summer 2024.	Campus tours were identified as an opportunity for continued engagement during the EA process in Section 7.4, Appendix J-6 and Appendix J-7.	Section 7.4 Appendix J-6 Appendix J-7
83.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	The Economic Environment Impact Assessment will include a property value impact assessment (see Appendix C-9).	Property value considerations were assessed as part of the Economic Environment assessment in Section 4.3.4, Section 6.2.4, Appendix F-9 and Appendix I-9.	Section 4.3.4 Section 6.2.4 Appendix F-9 Appendix I-9
84.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will incorporate this feedback [regarding attendees at Open House #1 expressing that materials presented were helpful in describing the project and that the event was accessible] into the design of future public information sessions.	Feedback from Open House #1 was considered in designing future public information sessions and consultation materials in Section 7.6, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.6 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
85.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	The South Landfill Phase 2 will manage waste generated in Niagara as a first priority to ensure disposal capacity for the local community. Walker is proposing a service area of Niagara Region, Southern, and Southwestern Ontario to provide flexibility/contingency (e.g., a natural disaster such as tornado in an adjacent municipality).	The service area and local waste management priority were considered in defining the undertaking in Section 3.1 and assessing the Preferred Method in Section 6.1.3.	Section 3.1 Section 6.1.3

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
86.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will look to enhance its existing annual Neighbour Appreciation BBQ/Campus Open House event by including free compost as well as identifying other opportunities via direct dialogue with neighbours. The EA will also identify impact mitigation and management recommendations as part of the overall effects assessment (see Section 7.2 of the ToR).	Neighbour engagement and community benefit opportunities were considered through consultation and development of impact management measures in Section 6.7, Section 7.6, Appendix I-4, Appendix I-8 and Appendix I-10.	Section 6.7 Section 7.6 Appendix I-4 Appendix I-8 Appendix I-10
87.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	The South Landfill Phase 2 will manage waste generated in Niagara as a first priority to ensure disposal capacity for the local community for the next 20 years.	The local waste management priority and anticipated disposal capacity planning horizon were addressed in the description and assessment of the undertaking in Section 3.1 and Section 6.1.3.	Section 3.1 Section 6.1.3
88.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will attempt to establish a Community Liaison Committee during the EA stage as noted in Section 9.2.2 - Proposed Consultation Activities of the ToR.	Walker established an advisory committee to provide a forum for community input at key EA milestones in Section 7.3.6, Appendix J-1, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.6 Appendix J-1 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
89.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will consider this feedback as part of the EA : Open House #1 attendees provided positive feedback on the project, stating that they are not concerned with the proposal.	Feedback from Open House #1 was considered as part of the EA consultation record and overall project assessment in Section 7.6, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.6 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
90.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will assess agricultural impacts as part of the Agricultural Impact Assessment (see Appendix C-6). Walker will evaluate end use options for the South Landfill Phase 2 including an agricultural end use.	Agricultural impacts and potential agricultural end-use options were assessed as part of the Agricultural Environment assessment and Preferred Method impact assessment in Section 4.3.2.3, Section 6.2.2.3, Appendix F-6 and Appendix I-6.	Section 4.3.2.3 Section 6.2.2.3 Appendix F-6 Appendix I-6
91.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	The Atmospheric Environment Impact Assessment will include an odour assessment (see Appendix C-3).	Odour was assessed as part of the Atmospheric Environment assessment in Section 4.3.1.3, Section 6.2.1.3, Appendix F-3 and Appendix I-3.	Section 4.3.1.3 Section 6.2.1.3 Appendix F-3 Appendix I-3
92.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	The Transportation Impact Assessment will evaluate changes in traffic patterns in the local community (see Appendix C-7).	Changes in traffic patterns in the local community were assessed as part of the Transportation Environment assessment in Section 4.3.3.1, Section 6.2.3.1, Appendix F-7 and Appendix I-7.	Section 4.3.3.1 Section 6.2.3.1 Appendix F-7 Appendix I-7

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
93.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	As part of this EA, Walker will be exploring how landfill gas produced from Phase 2 can be incorporated into the existing landfill gas utilization facility at the Walker Campus.	Landfill gas generation and utilization were considered as part of the Preferred Method design and Atmospheric Environment assessment in Section 6.2.1.3, Appendix H and Appendix I-3.	Section 6.2.1.3 Appendix H Appendix I-3
94.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will incorporate this feedback into future project visual aids/maps/Notices.: Provide larger maps on the proposed location of Phase 2 (i.e., the map in the Notice of Commencement was hard to read).	Feedback on map readability was considered in developing consultation materials, notices and visual aids for subsequent consultation activities in Section 7.3.9, Appendix J-3, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.9 Appendix J-3 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
95.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Landfill design measures including the landfill liner, leachate collection system, and landfill cap will prevent leachate from coming into contact with 10 Mile Creek. The Surface Water Impact Assessment will include a water quality impact assessment of the 10 Mile Creek (see Appendix C-2)	Landfill design measures and potential effects to 10 Mile Creek were assessed through the surface water and Preferred Method assessments in Section 4.3.1.2, Section 6.2.1.2, Appendix F-2 and Appendix I-2.	Section 4.3.1.2 Section 6.2.1.2 Appendix F-2 Appendix I-2
96.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker does not anticipate changing the operating hours; however, this EA will assess the impact of operating hours on things like traffic patterns, noise, etc.	Operating hours were considered in the assessment of potential effects, including traffic and noise, in Section 6.1.2.5, Appendix I-3 and Appendix I-7.	Section 6.1.2.5 Appendix I-3 Appendix I-7
97.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will consider [recommendations to increase tree plantings around this site, specifically evergreens and regionally native species; recommendations to improve wildlife corridors/connectivity of natural spaces on buffer lands surrounding the Walker Campus] as part of the mitigation, community benefits, and end-use elements of this EA.	Tree planting, wildlife connectivity and buffer enhancement recommendations were considered in relation to mitigation, community benefits and end-use planning in Section 6.2.1.5 and Appendix I-4.	Section 6.2.1.5 Appendix I-4
98.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	Walker will incorporate [community members' request for increased communication from Walker in the community] into the EA and existing Campus operations.	Community requests for increased communication were addressed through the EA consultation program and ongoing Campus communications in Section 7.6.5, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.6.5 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
99.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	With construction of the RNG facility complete, Walker will be reopening the [10 Mile Creek] trail in the summer of 2024.	The reopening of the 10 Mile Creek trail was identified as part of ongoing Campus operations and community communication in Section 7.6.5, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.6.5 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
100.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	The Economic Environment Impact Assessment will identify the economic impacts to the local community (Niagara Region) and Province overall (see Appendix C-9).	Economic impacts to the local community and Province were assessed as part of the Economic Environment assessment in Section 4.3.4, Section 6.2.4, Appendix F-9 and Appendix I-9.	Section 4.3.4 Section 6.2.4 Appendix F-9 Appendix I-9
101.	9.1.3, Table 9.7	The Social Environment Impact Assessment will assess social impacts including changes to the local community characteristics (see Appendix C-8).	Potential social impacts, including changes to local community characteristics, were assessed as part of the Social Environment assessment in Section 4.3.3.2, Section 6.2.3.2, Appendix F-8 and Appendix I-8.	Section 4.3.3.2 Section 6.2.3.2 Appendix F-8 Appendix I-8
102.	9.2	The consultation efforts listed in this section will continue to build on the engagement and consultation activities carried out during the ToR.	Consultation during the EA built on engagement undertaken during the ToR and is documented in Section 7, Appendix J-1 and Appendix J-3 through Appendix J-9.	Section 7 Appendix J-1 Appendix J-3 through 9
103.	9.2.1	The proposed EA consultation plan has been designed to create two-way dialogue between Walker and review agencies, Indigenous peoples, and the public. It will allow for multiple opportunities as well as a variety of methods for input and feedback to be considered throughout the EA.	The EA consultation program was implemented to support two-way dialogue with review agencies, Indigenous communities and the public in Section 7, Appendix J-1 and Appendix J-3 through Appendix J-9.	Section 7 Appendix J-1 Appendix J-3 through 9
104.	9.2.2	Proposed consultation activities will include, but not be limited to, those initiated during preparation of the ToR.	Consultation activities from the ToR were continued and supplemented during the EA process in Section 7.1, Appendix J-1 and Appendix J-3 through Appendix J-9.	Section 7.1 Appendix J-1 Appendix J-3 through 9
105.	9.2.2	The Project website (southlandfillphase2.com) launched during the ToR will continue to be the go-to source of information about the Project.	The Project website continued to be used as a central source of project information and consultation materials in Section 7.3.2, Appendix J-3, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.2 Appendix J-3 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
106.	9.2.2	The toll-free telephone number (1-866-699-9425) and email address (info@southlandfillphase2.com) will continue to be available as a means for interested parties to contact project team members directly. All inquiries received by telephone and email will be followed up with within 48 hours.	The project phone number and email address were maintained to receive inquiries and provide responses during the EA in Section 7.3.3 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.3 Appendix J-9
107.	9.2.2	Non-project-specific events traditionally hosted and/or attended by Walker will also provide opportunities for Project information to be shared with the public, and for the public to ask questions and provide comments throughout the EA process.	Walker-hosted and community events provided opportunities to share project information and receive public input during the EA in Section 7.3.4, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.4 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
108.	9.2.2	Individual/group meetings will be scheduled, as appropriate, to discuss project-specific issues with a review agency or agencies, Indigenous communities and agencies, and the public.	Individual and group meetings were held or offered, as appropriate, with review agencies, Indigenous communities and members of the public in Section 7 and Appendix J-4 through Appendix J-9.	Section 7 Appendix J-4 through 9
109.	9.2.2	Walker will attempt to establish of a Community Liaison Committee (CLC) from a range of interested community members, specifically neighbours of Walker's Campus, local municipal representatives, local economic associations and local environmental associations/groups. The CLC will serve as an advisory body that will provide a forum at key milestones for community input during the EA.	Walker established an advisory committee to provide a forum for community input at key EA milestones in Section 7.3.6, Appendix J-1, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.6 Appendix J-1 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
110.	9.2.2	Walker will continue to provide an open invitation for interested individuals and groups to tour the Campus. The tours provide an opportunity to learn more about how Walker constructs, operates, and manages a modern landfill. Since landfills are only one component of the Campus, tours will also provide an overview of Walker's other operations at the Campus including organics processing, renewable energy, biosolids management, etc.	Campus tours continued to be offered as an engagement opportunity to provide information on Walker's landfill and Campus operations in Section 7.3.7, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.7 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
111.	9.2.2	Walker will communicate with the media to provide important updates about the Project and answer questions, as appropriate.	Media communications were used, as appropriate, to provide project updates and respond to questions in Section 7.3.8 and Appendix J-2.	Section 7.3.8 Appendix J-2
112.	9.2.2	Building on the Project distribution list created during the ToR, Walker will continue to provide important updates and notifications for upcoming consultation opportunities by email and print mail drops to residents within approximately 500 to 2.5 km. Key Project milestones will also be communicated via ads in local newspapers and updates to the Project website.	Project updates and consultation notices were distributed through email, mail drops, newspaper advertisements and the Project website in Section 7.3.9, Appendix J-2, Appendix J-3 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.9 Appendix J-2 Appendix J-3 Appendix J-9
113.	9.2.3	Input will be obtained from interested persons during the South Landfill Phase 2 EA through a variety of means specific to each group.	Input from interested persons was received through multiple consultation methods tailored to the public, agencies and Indigenous communities in Section 7.3.1 and Appendix J-4 through Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3.1 Appendix J-4 through 9
114.	9.2.3	Input from the public will be received primarily through written correspondence via the Project website and e-mails, documented telephone calls via the project specific 1-800 number, verbal discussions held at Public Open House events, and additional individual or group meetings.	Public input was received through the Project website, email, telephone, public open houses and individual or group meetings in Section 7.3, Appendix J-8 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.3 Appendix J-8 Appendix J-9
115.	9.2.3	Input from interested review agencies will be received primarily through written correspondence and e-mails, individual or group meetings (e.g., Government Review Team meetings).	Review agency input was received through written correspondence, email and meetings, including Government Review Team engagement, in Section 7.4, Appendix J-4 and Appendix J-5.	Section 7.4 Appendix J-4 Appendix J-5

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
116.	9.2.3	Input from interested Indigenous communities, agencies, or individuals will be obtained primarily through written correspondence and e-mails, documented telephone follow-up calls and, if interest is expressed, individual or group meetings. It is Walker's objective to develop meaningful opportunities to engage with Indigenous peoples throughout the EA process by providing access to technical information and the project team's technical expertise as well as receiving input and being responsive to any concerns that may arise.	Indigenous communities were engaged through correspondence, follow-up calls and meetings where requested, with access to technical information and the project team, in Section 7.5, Appendix J-6 and Appendix J-7.	Section 7.5 Appendix J-6 Appendix J-7
117.	9.2.4	If the Minister approves the Terms of Reference, Walker will issue a Notice of Commencement of Environmental Assessment. The Notice will provide information to interested parties about the next steps in the process, what is being proposed, and how to become involved.	The Notice of Commencement was issued to initiate the EA and provide information on next steps, the proposed undertaking and opportunities for involvement in Section 7.3.9 and Appendix J-3.	Section 7.3.9 Appendix J-3
118.	9.2.5	Walker recognizes that there may be issues raised or disputes during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA that may be difficult to resolve. As such, Walker has developed an issues resolution strategy as part of the ToR. This strategy will benefit all parties involved by providing an agreed to and well understood issues resolution process to ensure that disputes are effectively and appropriately dealt with.	An issue resolution strategy was carried forward to guide how issues or disputes raised during the EA would be reviewed and addressed in Section 7.1, Appendix J-5, Appendix J-7 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.1 Appendix J-5 Appendix J-7 Appendix J-9
119.	9.2.5	Should an issue or dispute arise during preparation of the EA, Walker will discuss the nature of the issue or dispute with the interested persons and attempt, in good faith, to reach a resolution that is agreeable to both Walker and the interested persons. A comment disposition table will be used to document comments and responses, and issue resolution meetings will be organized, as appropriate. If a mutually agreeable resolution is not achieved prior to submission of the EA, Walker will refer the matter to MECP. With this general framework in mind, a more detailed issue resolution strategy will be developed as part of the EA.	Issues and comments were documented, responses were provided, and issue resolution meetings were used where appropriate; unresolved matters could be referred to MECP, as documented in Section 7.1, Appendix J-5, Appendix J-7 and Appendix J-9.	Section 7.1 Appendix J-5 Appendix J-7 Appendix J-9
120.	10, Table 10.1	A detailed description of and the rationale for the proposed undertaking will be provided as part of preparing the EA once a specific undertaking is selected from the Alternative Methods of Carrying Out the Undertaking that are to be considered.	The proposed undertaking and rationale were described once the Preferred Method was identified through the assessment of Alternative Methods in Section 3, Section 5.2 and Section 6.1.1.	Section 3 Section 5.2 Section 6.1.1
121.	10, Table 10.1	The site configuration Alternative Methods within the envelope of the Southeast Quarry will be developed and described in detail as part of preparing the South Landfill Phase 2 EA in order to complete the assessment and comparative evaluation of Alternative Methods. Similarly, the rationale for each of the site configuration Alternative Methods will be developed as part of preparing the EA. The finalization of the Alternative Methods and their rationale will occur after presenting their details and consulting on them with Indigenous communities, review agencies, and the public.	The site configuration Alternative Methods were developed, described, assessed and finalized following consultation with Indigenous communities, review agencies and the public in Section 5.1.1, Section 7, Appendix G and Appendix J-4 through Appendix J-9.	Section 5.1.1 Section 7 Appendix G Appendix J-4 through 9
122.	10, Table 10.1	The preliminary study area will be finalized during preparation of the EA when the Alternative Methods have been confirmed and the potential environmental effects are better known.	The preliminary study area was finalized once the Alternative Methods were confirmed and potential environmental effects were understood in Section 4.1, Section 5.1 and Appendix F.	Section 4.1 Section 5.1 Appendix F

No.	ToR Section	Proposed ToR Commitment	How the Commitment was Addressed in the EA	Where the Commitment is Addressed in the EA
123.	10, Table 10.1	A more detailed description of the environment will be provided during preparation of the EA reflecting the final study area using available existing information sources and investigative studies.	The existing environment was described using the final study area, available background information and investigative studies in Section 4.3 and Appendix F.	Section 4.3 Appendix F
124.	10, Table 10.1	The proposed work plans will be reconfirmed as part of the EA.	The work plans were reconfirmed and used to guide the EA investigative studies and assessment work in Section 4.3, Section 5.2, Section 6.2, Appendix F, Appendix G and Appendix I.	Section 4.3 Section 5.2 Section 6.2 Appendix F Appendix G Appendix I
125.	10, Table 10.1	The specific potential environmental effects will be determined during the preparation of the EA.	The specific potential environmental effects were identified during the EA based on the final study areas, Alternative Methods and Preferred Method in Section 5.2, Section 6.2, Appendix G and Appendix I.	Section 5.2 Section 6.2 Appendix G Appendix I
126.	10, Table 10.1	The preliminary evaluation criteria and indicators will be finalized prior to application during preparation of the EA.	The preliminary evaluation criteria and indicators were finalized and applied during the assessment and comparative evaluation of Alternative Methods in Section 5.2 and Appendix G.	Section 5.2 Appendix G
127.	10, Table 10.1	As part of the EA, the consultation activities will include those listed in the ToR but may include additional activities, as appropriate.	The EA consultation program included the consultation activities identified in the ToR and additional activities, as appropriate, in Section 7.2 and Appendix J.	Section 7.2 Appendix J
128.	11	Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendment approvals will be required.	Required Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendment approvals were identified as part of the EA approvals review in Section 9.1.1.	Section 9.1.1
129.	11	The actual approvals required for the preferred undertaking will be identified during preparation of the South Landfill Phase 2 EA.	The approvals required for the Preferred Method were identified during preparation of the EA in Section 9.	Section 9